PTO/SB/17 (10-07)

Approved for use through 06/30/2010. OMB 0651-0032
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE e required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. Under the Paperwork Reduction Act Complete if Known Effective on 12/08/2004. 10/721,165-Conf. #5534 Fees pursuant to the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2005 (H.R. 4818). **Application Number** FEE TRANSMITTAL November 26, 2003 Filing Date Ulrich C. Boettiger First Named Inventor For FY 2008 **Examiner Name** J. Dinh 2873 Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27 **Art Unit** M4065.0948/P948 TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT 1,743.00 Attorney Docket No. METHOD OF PAYMENT (check all that apply) Check x Credit Card Money Order Other (please identify): Dickstein Shapiro LLP 04-1073 x Deposit Account Deposit Account Number:_ Deposit Account Name: For the above-identified deposit account, the Director is hereby authorized to: (check all that apply) Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filing fee x Charge fee(s) indicated below Charge any additional fee(s) or underpayments of x | Credit any overpayments fee(s) under 37 CFR 1.16 and 1.17 **FEE CALCULATION** 1. BASIC FILING, SEARCH, AND EXAMINATION FEES **FILING FEES** SEARCH FEES **EXAMINATION FEES** Small Entity **Small Entity Small Entity** Fee (\$) Fees Paid (\$) **Application Type** Fee (\$) Fee (\$) Fee (\$) Fee (\$) Fee (\$) 310 155 510 255 210 105 Utility 210 105 100 50 130 65 Design Plant 210 105 310 155 160 80 255 620 310 310 155 510 Reissue 210 105 Provisional **Small Entity** 2. EXCESS CLAIM FEES Fee (\$) Fee (\$) Fee Description 50 25 Each claim over 20 (including Reissues) Each independent claim over 3 (including Reissues) 200 100 Multiple dependent claims 360 180 **Multiple Dependent Claims** Fee Paid (\$) **Total Claims** Extra Claims Fee Paid (\$) Fee (\$) - 41 = X HP = highest number of total claims paid for, if greater than 20. Fee Paid (\$) Indep. Claims HP = highest number of independent claims paid for, if greater than 3. 3. APPLICATION SIZE FEE If the specification and drawings exceed 100 sheets of paper (excluding electronically filed sequence or computer listings under 37 CFR 1.52(e)), the application size fee due is \$260 (\$130 for small entity) for each additional 50 sheets or fraction thereof. See 35 U.S.C. 41(a)(1)(G) and 37 CFR 1.16(s). Number of each additional 50 or fraction thereof Fee Paid (\$) Extra Sheets Fee (\$) /50 = ____ (round up to a whole number) x - 100 = 4. OTHER FEE(S) Fees Paid (\$) Non-English Specification, \$130 fee (no small entity discount) Other (e.g., late filing surcharge): 1501 Utility issue fee 1.440.00 1504 Publication fee for early, voluntary, or normal ... 300.00 3.00 8001 Printed copy of patent w/o color SUBMITTED BY Registration No. 28,371 Telephone (202) 420-2232 Signature (Attorney/Agent) Thomas J. D'Amico December 20, 2007

Name (Print/Type)



Docket No.: M4065.0948/P948

(PATENT)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:

Ulrich C. Boettiger et al. Allowed: September 21, 2007

Application No.: 10/721,165 Confirmation No.: 5534

Filed: November 26, 2003 Art Unit: 2873

For: MICRO-LENSES FOR CMOS IMAGERS Examiner: J. Dinh

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.104(E)

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Applicants agree in part with the Examiner's statement of reasons for allowance, contained in the Notice of Allowance of September 21, 2007 in that the prior art of record fails to disclose, teach or suggest the claimed invention. However, Applicants note that the Examiner's statement fails to address all limitations of all of the allowed claims. For example, certain claim limitations were not discussed in the Examiner's Reasons for Allowance, including those in most of the allowed claims, each of which defines a unique combination of features not shown or suggested by the prior art, providing additional reasons for allowance of each claim.

Furthermore, Applicants respectfully submit that the scope of the claimed invention

Application No.: 10/721,165 Docket No.: M4065.0948/P948

should not be limited by the Examiner's statement, but rather the scope of the claimed invention should be based on the actual language of the allowed claims.

Dated: December 20, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

Thomas J. D'Amico

Registration No.: 28,371 DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP

1825 Eye Street, NW

Washington, DC 20006-5403

(202) 420-2200

Attorney for Applicant